UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION

CONSENT SOLICITATION STATEMENT PURSUANT TO SECTION 14(a) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

(AMENDMENT NO. 1)

Filed	by the Registrant $ _ $ $\;$ Filed by a Party other than the Registrant $ $	X
Check	the appropriate box:	
X	Preliminary Proxy Statement	
	Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only	
_	(as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))	
	Definitive Proxy Statement	
	Definitive Additional Materials	
1_1	Soliciting Material Pursuant to ss.240.14a-12	

DELCATH SYSTEMS, INC. (Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

ROBERT B. LADD

JONATHAN A. FOLTZ

MICHAEL KARPF, M.D.

PAUL WILLIAM FREDERICK NICHOLLS

FRED S. ZEIDMAN

LADDCAP VALUE ASSOCIATES LLC

LADDCAP VALUE PARTNERS LP

(Name(s) of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- |X| No fee required.
- | | Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.
 - (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies: $\ensuremath{\text{N/A}}$
 - (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies: N/A
 - (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (Set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined): N/A
 - (4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction: N/A (5) Total fee paid: N/A
 - | | Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.
- $|_|$ Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.
 - (1) Amount Previously Paid: N/A
 - (2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.: N/A
 - (3) Filing Party: N/A
 - (4) Date Filed: N/A

LADDCAP VALUE PARTNERS LP 650 FIFTH AVENUE, SUITE 600 NEW YORK, NY 10019 (212) 259-2070

Dear Fellow Delcath Stockholders:

Laddcap Value Partners LP ("LADDCAP") is the largest stockholder of Delcath Systems, Inc. ("DELCATH" or the "COMPANY"), currently owning 2,223,083 or over 11% of the Company's outstanding shares. We own more Delcath shares than all of Delcath's officers and directors combined. We believe that Delcath has significant inherent value that has been inadequately exploited by the current Board of Directors and management. We are eager to advance the Company's technology with a higher degree of urgency by ensuring that the Board of Directors and management has access to all available skills and resources. Our proposed changes are intended to increase the likelihood of maximum value realization for the benefit of all Delcath stockholders in the near and

We are asking for your written consent to remove all directors from Delcath's board and, upon such removal, to replace them with our nominees. As many of you are aware, we recently challenged the re-election of Mark Corigliano and Victor Nevins to the Company's board because we did not believe that they had the necessary experience to guide Delcath in the future and because we questioned the current board's independence and oversight of management. Based on the voting information disclosed by Delcath from the annual meeting, it appears that over 56% of the shares actually voted by Delcath stockholders were voted to withhold support for Messrs. Corigliano and Nevins. This significant showing by fellow stockholders does not include any shares voted by brokers on behalf of their clients who did not respond. In addition, nearly 60% of the votes cast were in favor of Laddcap's proposal that Delcath retain an investment banker, despite the opposition from Delcath.

We strongly believe that the vote at the annual meeting reflected stockholders' desire for change and for a cessation of business as usual. As succinctly stated at the meeting by an institutional stockholder, "when is this company going to grow up?" Based on many conversations with stockholders around the time of the annual meeting, it appears to us that many stockholders feel that the great promise of the Company's device is potentially being compromised by a lack of urgency on the part of a board that we view as inexperienced, and by shortcomings in corporate governance and management oversight.

Shortly after the annual meeting, we approached Delcath with a simple proposition: Mark Corigliano, Victor Nevins and Daniel Isdaner should resign as directors and be replaced with three, mutually agreeable, new independent directors with professional experience from which Delcath can draw as it looks toward FDA approval of its delivery system. Unfortunately, our simple proposal was rejected and in its place, Delcath announced plans to expand the board to include two additional unnamed directors. We did not agree with this proposed change as we believe that adding two additional directors to the board will not change the oversight of management and will not provide the substantive change that we believe is necessary.

The public disclosure by Delcath of the proposed board expansion occurred on June 27, 2006, when it issued a press release. On July 10, 2006, two weeks later, Laddcap withdrew its request for a special meeting of Delcath's stockholders. To effectuate this withdrawal, counsel between Laddcap and Delcath exchanged a letter confirming the withdrawal of the request for a special meeting, the form of press release to be used by Delcath and the dismissal of litigation in Delaware. This letter specifically provided that the agreement shall not "prejudice or restrict any future actions that [Laddcap or Delcath] may desire to take."

In light of the Company's actions and in light of what we believe is the prevailing desire of stockholders for substantive change, we believe that it is necessary and appropriate to bring the issue to you, our fellow stockholders, as soon as possible. Delcath is your company and the choice is now yours to make.

We are asking your consent to:

- 1. remove without cause M.S. Koly, Samuel Herschkowitz, M.D., Mark A. Corigliano, Daniel Isdaner and Victor Nevins as directors of the Company and any other person or persons (other than the persons elected pursuant to this proposed action by written consent) elected or appointed to the Board of Directors (the "BOARD") of the Company prior to the effectiveness of these Proposals; and
- 2. elect the following five persons as directors of the
 Company to fill the vacancies resulting from Proposal
 1: Jonathan A. Foltz, Michael Karpf, M.D., Robert B.
 Ladd, Paul William Frederick Nicholls and Fred S.
 Zeidman (the "UNAFFILIATED SLATE"); and
- 3. repeal each of the provisions of the Company's Bylaws or amendments of the Bylaws that are adopted after December 31, 2005 and before the effectiveness of the foregoing two Proposals (as of the date of this consent solicitation statement, we are not aware of any changes to the Company's Bylaws that were adopted after December 31, 2005).

In an effort to increase both short-term and long-term stockholder value, we are proposing the Unaffiliated Slate, which we believe would bring to the Company diverse experiences from the medical, financial, and regulatory fields. We believe that the experiences of the Unaffiliated Slate stand in contrast to Delcath's existing Board. A biographical summary of each member of the Unaffiliated Slate is included for your review in the Preliminary Consent Solicitation Statement.

If elected, among other things, the Unaffiliated Slate anticipates reviewing Delcath's corporate governance practices against current best practices. This review would include

consideration of (i) rescinding Delcath's poison pill (which was never approved by Delcath's stockholders), (ii) eliminating the staggered terms of Delcath's directors, and (iii) adopting majority voting for directors. In addition, the Unaffiliated Slate will avail itself of specialists in the field of executive compensation to set appropriate guidelines for cash and stock compensation for senior management and directors.

With respect to the business operations of Delcath, if elected, during the balance of fiscal year 2006 the Unaffiliated Slate anticipates Delcath: (i) with the support of the National Cancer Institute (NCI), seeking to establish at least two additional sites for Delcath's ongoing Phase III trial using melphalan; (ii) seeking opportunities to enhance its working relationship with the NCI by funding new initiatives using Delcath's device; (iii) reviewing whether continuing to devote resources to the doxorubucin Phase III trials undermines the melphalan Phase III trial treating the same patient population; (iv) establishing a collaboration with a filter expert to improve and customize the filters for use within the Delcath system, as well as for future filter variations to address alternative uses of Delcath's device; (v) testing the feasibility of using its device in the treatment of Hepatitis C; and (vi) retaining a nationally recognized investment banking firm with expertise in the medical device industry.

The retention of a nationally recognized investment banking firm will allow Delcath and its board to be provided with expert advice with respect to, among other things, capital raising, strategic transactions, more visibility with research analysts and more visibility within the medical device industry. Laddcap believes that Delcath and its board can only benefit from hearing the views and perspective of industry experts. Moreover, Laddcap does not have a current intention to compel an immediate sale of Delcath should Laddcap be successful in this consent solicitation. Any decision to retain investment bankers or to take other strategic decisions rests solely with Delcath's Board of Directors; such decisions cannot be taken unilaterally by Laddcap. Moreover, Laddcap believes that a sale of Delcath would require the approval of Delcath's stockholders.

For 2007, the Unaffiliated Slate anticipates Delcath also trying to (i) add at least one additional Phase III site to speed trial completion; (ii) expand testing to at least one new organ or body region; and (iii) establish a presence for Delcath within at least one major cancer conference.

Through the attached Preliminary Consent Solicitation Statement, Laddcap is providing all holders of Delcath's shares as of July 27, 2006 (the "RECORD DATE") with an opportunity to remove and, subject to the qualifications set forth on page 14 under the heading "CONSENT PROCEDURES", replace the members of the Board with the Unaffiliated Slate upon such removal. All Delcath stockholders, who hold shares as of the Record Date, are being asked to express their written CONSENT to the three proposals by marking, signing, and dating the enclosed BLUE Consent Card and returning it in the enclosed, postage-paid envelope, to The Altman Group, Inc. at 1200 Wall Street West, 3rd Floor, Lyndhurst, NJ 07071.

LADDCAP CAN ONLY IMPLEMENT ITS PLAN WITH YOUR HELP

LADDCAP URGES YOU TO TAKE ADVANTAGE OF THIS OPPORTUNITY TO CHANGE THE COMPOSITION OF THE BOARD. LADDCAP BELIEVES THIS CHANGE WILL BENEFIT ALL STOCKHOLDERS. IF WE FAIL IN THIS EFFORT, WE MAY NOT INITIATE ANOTHER OPPORTUNITY TO BRING NEW LEADERSHIP TO DELCATH.

PLEASE CONSENT WITH RESPECT TO ALL SHARES FOR WHICH YOU WERE ENTITLED TO GIVE CONSENT AS OF THE RECORD DATE, AS SET FORTH ON YOUR BLUE CONSENT CARD, IN FAVOR OF EACH PROPOSAL AS DESCRIBED IN THE ACCOMPANYING PRELIMINARY CONSENT SOLICITATION STATEMENT.

If you have any questions regarding the procedures concerning the enclosed Consent Card, or if you have any questions, kindly contact either Robert Ladd at (212) 259-2070 or The Altman Group, Inc. at (800) 581-5375 (Banks and Brokerage Firms please call (201) 806-7300). The Altman Group, Inc. is assisting Laddcap with its effort to solicit written consents.

Thank you for your support,

LADDCAP VALUE PARTNERS LP

/s/ Robert B. Ladd

Bv:

Robert B. Ladd, in his capacity as the managing member of Laddcap Value Associates LLC, the general partner of Laddcap Value Partners LP

IMPORTANT

PLEASE READ THIS CAREFULLY

- 1. If you hold your shares in your own name, please sign, date and mail the enclosed BLUE Consent Card to The Altman Group in the postage-paid envelope provided to 1200 Wall Street West, 3rd Floor, Lyndhurst, NJ 07071.
- 2. If your shares are held in the name of a brokerage firm, bank nominee or other institution, only it can execute a written consent representing your shares and only on receipt of your specific instructions. Accordingly, you should contact the person responsible for your account and give instructions for a BLUE Consent Card to be signed representing your shares. We urge you to confirm in writing your instructions to the person responsible for your account and provide a copy of those instructions to us in care of The Altman Group, Inc. so that we will be aware of all instructions given and can attempt to ensure that those instructions are followed.

If you have any questions or require any assistance in executing your written consent, please call:

THE ALTMAN GROUP, INC.

1200 Wall Street West, 3rd Floor, Lyndhurst, NJ 07071
(800) 581-5375

Banks and Brokers Call Collect: (201) 806-7300

-5-

PRELIMINARY COPY
DATED August 14, 2006

LADDCAP VALUE PARTNERS LP 650 FIFTH AVENUE, SUITE 600 NEW YORK, NY 10019 (212) 259-2070

PRELIMINARY CONSENT SOLICITATION STATEMENT OF LADDCAP VALUE PARTNERS LP

TO REMOVE WITHOUT CAUSE ALL EXISTING DIRECTORS OF DELCATH'S CURRENT BOARD OF DIRECTORS,

AND

TO ELECT, SUBJECT TO THE QUALIFICATIONS SET FORTH ON PAGE 14 UNDER THE HEADING "CONSENT PROCEDURES", FIVE NEW DIRECTORS TO FILL THE VACANCIES CAUSED BY THE REMOVAL OF THE EXISTING DIRECTORS,

AND

TO REPEAL ANY CHANGES TO THE BYLAWS THAT THE CURRENT BOARD OF DIRECTORS MAY MAKE

To Fellow Stockholders of Delcath Systems, Inc.:

Laddcap Value Partners LP ("LADDCAP") is the beneficial owner of over 11% of the issued and outstanding shares of common stock of Delcath Systems, Inc., a Delaware corporation ("DELCATH" or the "Company").

Laddcap believes that the time has come to remove and replace the current Board of Directors (the "CURRENT BOARD") with the slate of experienced nominees who are all independent of the Company's management (the "UNAFFILIATED SLATE"). Laddcap is furnishing this Preliminary Consent Solicitation Statement in connection with its solicitation of written consents from the holders of common stock, par value \$0.01 per share (the "Shares") of the Company, entitled to give consent as of July 27, 2006 (the "RECORD DATE"), to act on the following proposals (each individually, a "PROPOSAL" and collectively, the "PROPOSALS") without a meeting of stockholders, pursuant to Section 228(a) of the General Corporation Law of the State of Delaware:

 to remove without cause M.S. Koly, Samuel Herschkowitz, M.D., Mark A. Corigliano, Daniel Isdaner and Victor Nevins as directors of the Company and any other person or persons (other than the persons elected pursuant to this proposed action by written consent) elected or appointed to the Board of Directors (the "BOARD") of the Company prior to the effectiveness of these Proposals; and

- elect the following five persons as directors of the Company to fill the vacancies resulting from Proposal 1: Jonathan A. Foltz, Michael Karpf, M.D., Robert B. Ladd, Paul William Frederick Nicholls and Fred S. Zeidman; and
- 3. repeal each of the provisions of the Company's Bylaws or amendments of the Bylaws that are adopted after December 31, 2005 and before the effectiveness of the foregoing two Proposals (as of the date of this consent statement, we are not aware of any changes to the Company's Bylaws that were adopted after December 31, 2005).

Each Proposal will be effective, subject to the qualifications set forth on page 14 under the heading "CONSENT PROCEDURES", without further action when we deliver to the Company consents from the holders of a majority of the issued and outstanding Shares. Laddcap beneficially owned an aggregate of 2,163,527 Shares as of the Record Date and intends to consent in favor of each of the Proposals.

The purpose of this consent solicitation is to remove the Current Board and thereupon install five new directors on the Board who we believe will (i) implement new strategies to maximize short-term and long-term stockholder value, (ii) uphold the highest standards of corporate governance and (iii) provide greater oversight of the Company's management.

THIS CONSENT SOLICITATION IS BEING MADE BY LADDCAP AND NOT BY OR ON BEHALF OF THE COMPANY. LADDCAP IS ASKING THE STOCKHOLDERS OF THE COMPANY TO ACT BY WRITTEN CONSENT WITH RESPECT TO THESE PROPOSALS ON THE ACCOMPANYING BLUE CONSENT CARD.

A consent solicitation is a process that allows a company's stockholders to act by submitting written consents to any proposed stockholder action in lieu of voting in person or by proxy at an annual or special meeting of stockholders. A written consent solicitation requires the majority vote of all stock outstanding of the Company. Laddcap does not believe that the Current Board is acting in your best interests, and is therefore asking all of Delcath's stockholders to express their consent to the Proposals set forth above by marking, signing and dating the enclosed BLUE Consent Card and returning it in the enclosed, postage-paid envelope, to The Altman Group, Inc., as set forth in the Preliminary Consent Solicitation Statement at 1200 Wall Street West, 3rd Floor, Lyndhurst, NJ 07071.

This Preliminary Consent Solicitation Statement, the enclosed letter to stockholders and the BLUE Consent Card are first being furnished to stockholders on or about August $[\]$, 2006.

Approval of the Proposals requires the written consent of the holders of a majority of the outstanding Shares as of July 27, 2006, the Record Date. Stockholders of record as of the

close of business on the Record Date will be entitled to one vote for each Share for which they are entitled to give consent. Laddcap has set September 15, 2006 as the goal for the submission of written consents; however, the last day for the submission of written consents to the Company under Delaware law is September 25, 2006. Based on the information as set forth in the Company's Schedule 14A filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 7, 2006, as of the Record Date, there were 19,889,039 Shares issued and outstanding.

WE URGE YOU TO ACT AS SOON AS POSSIBLE TO ENSURE THAT YOUR CONSENT WILL COUNT.

If you have any questions regarding the procedures by which you can submit your written consent, or if you have any questions, kindly contact The Altman Group, Inc. at $(800)\ 581-5375$ (Banks and Brokerage Firms please call $(201)\ 806-7300$). The Altman Group, Inc. is assisting Laddcap with its effort to solicit written consents.

REASONS FOR THE SOLICITATION

We are Delcath's largest stockholder, currently owning 2,223,083 Shares, which represents over 11% of the outstanding Shares. We have been a Delcath stockholder since 2004.

For more than a year, we have been trying to engage in a meaningful dialogue with Delcath's Board and management to explore various ways that we can work with Delcath in enhancing value for all stockholders. To our surprise, Delcath's Board and its President, Mr. M.S. Koly, effectively rejected our efforts.

Because of Delcath's and Mr. Koly's refusal to engage in a meaningful dialogue, we felt that we were left with no choice but to state publicly our frustrations concerning Delcath via a proxy solicitation, the cost of which was borne exclusively by us. In connection with Delcath's most recent annual meeting that was held on June 13, 2006, we mounted a proxy campaign to:

- solicit withhold votes against Mark Corigliano and Victor Nevins, the directors that were standing for re-election at the annual meeting; and
- support a stockholder proposal recommending that Delcath's Board retain a nationally recognized investment banking and/or merger advisory firm.

Based on the results of our proxy campaign and our observations of fellow Delcath stockholders at the annual meeting, we believe that there is real displeasure by Delcath's stockholders with the Current Board. Based on the voting information disclosed by Delcath from the annual meeting, it appears that of the Shares that were voted by stockholders or for which stockholders instructed their brokers how to vote, over 56% of the Shares were voted to withhold their votes for Messrs. Corigliano and Nevins. In addition, on our proposal that the Company retain an investment bank, nearly 60% of the votes cast were in favor of the proposal.

At the annual meeting, which Robert Ladd attended, stockholders asked many direct questions of Mr. Koly and stockholders expressed concern with the composition of the Current Board and the Company's management. Mr. Ladd called Mr. Koly the morning after the annual meeting to propose a solution that he believed would benefit all Delcath stockholders and which would address concerns that other stockholders expressed publicly at the annual meeting. Mr. Ladd's basic proposal was simple: that the three current independent directors resign from Delcath's Board. These three directors would then be replaced by three, mutually agreeable, new independent directors, each of whom would have relevant experience. Mr. Ladd believed that three new independent directors, to replace the current independent directors, would assist Delcath in achieving its potential.

Mr. Ladd and Mr. Koly exchanged phone calls for approximately two weeks discussing the proposal and various modifications proposed by Mr. Koly. Mr. Ladd considered Mr. Koly's counter-proposals, but ultimately concluded that Mr. Koly's proposals were cosmetic and lacking in substance as described below in this section. Mr. Koly then stated that his preferred course of action was approved by the Current Board and that Delcath would not agree to have new independent directors replace any of Delcath's current directors. Rather the Current Board, of which two members received essentially a vote of non-confidence at the annual

meeting from stockholders holding over half of Delcath's shares voted at the meeting, would at some point in the future, nominate and elect two additional independent directors.

Mr. Ladd believes that Delcath's plan to have the Current Board nominate two new directors, a minority of the total Board, will not serve the interests of Delcath's stockholders. Moreover, Mr. Ladd believes the recent changes proposed by Mr. Koly are cosmetic changes and will not materially change the Delcath Board's ability to exercise true independent control over Delcath's management or to set a strategic direction for Delcath that seeks to increase stockholder value because the Current Board would still effectively exercise control and the balance of power would not have shifted from the Current Board to new independent directors.

Because of Mr. Koly's actions, Mr. Ladd feels that it will serve the best interests of all Delcath stockholders if an entirely new Board is appointed to replace the Current Board. It should be noted, that Mr. Ladd, by virtue of this consent solicitation, is not seeking to change the current management of Delcath, however Mr. Koly (Delcath's current President and CEO) and Dr. Herschkowitz (Delcath's current Chief Technical Officer) have indicated in the Company's public filings that they would quit as employees of Delcath should the Current Board be removed. If this consent solicitation is successful and Mr. Koly and Dr. Herschkowitz quit as they stated they would, Jonathan Foltz has indicated that he would be willing to step in to manage the affairs of Delcath on an interim or permanent basis as the new Board may determine. As set forth in the section titled "The Nominees", Mr. Foltz has been an employee and consultant for the Company for the last 14 years and is familiar with the Company and its operations. No arrangement or understanding has been reached with Mr. Foltz regarding his future employment with Delcath, if any. Ultimately, the new Board would have the authority and duty to manage the affairs of Delcathas it deems to be in the best interests of Delcath and its stockholders.

To assist you in understanding our position, outlined below are some of the events and circumstances that led Laddcap to take this action:

- o We believe that Delcath's Board lacks the types of professional experiences from which Delcath's management can draw as it looks toward FDA approval of its delivery system. A review of Delcath's public filings reveals that Delcath's current independent directors' experience relates to microcomputers, restaurant management, real estate and children's residential summer camps.
- o Laddcap and stockholders representing nearly 60% of Delcath's outstanding Shares who voted at the annual meeting apparently think it is appropriate that Delcath avail itself of true expertise. Our stockholder proposal, which was approved overwhelmingly by the stockholders at the annual meeting, asked Delcath to do just that by retaining a nationally-recognized investment banking firm with expertise in the medical device industry.
- O Delcath and its President, Mr. Koly, are co-plaintiffs in two lawsuits, against the same defendant, Ms. Elizabeth Enney. One lawsuit is pending in the United States District Court for the District of Connecticut (Case No.: 305 CV 1281 (JCH)) and the other lawsuit is pending in the United States District Court, Northern District of Georgia (Case No. 3 06-CV-68 (JTC)). According to

the complaints, Mr. Koly, Mr. Corigliano (a Delcath director), and the defendant are all members of the Rolls-Royce Owners Club, and certain libelous and slanderous statements were made in connection with internal Rolls-Royce club operations.

The two Rolls-Royce litigations concern us because (i) Delcath has not publicly disclosed the effect of the dispute to its stockholders despite the allegation made by Mr. Koly in the Connecticut litigation that Delcath's ability to secure future investment capital has been damaged (ii) the activities that gave rise to the dispute concern matters which are entirely personal to Mr. Koly (i.e. Mr. Koly's membership in a luxury car enthusiast club) and (iii) at Delcath's annual meeting, Mr. Koly represented that the current legal cost of the Rolls-Royce litigation was approximately \$40,000. However, less than two weeks after the annual meeting, Laddcap was informed by Delcath's counsel that the true cost of the litigation was in excess of \$80,000, and that the litigation, and associated costs were continuing.

We are concerned that Delcath's treasury may be funding what ostensibly appears to be a personal lawsuit by Mr. Koly. After the annual meeting, we were informed by Delcath's counsel that a formal allocation of fees and expenses between Delcath and Mr. Koly has not been decided upon, nor do any of Delcath's public filings disclose how these fees and expenses will be allocated between the Company and Mr. Koly, if at all. In our opinion, the above are examples of the Current Board's lack of effective independent oversight of Delcath.

o In 2005, the Compensation Committee of the Current Board awarded a total of 940,000 stock options to the members of the Current Board. The members of the Compensation Committee, comprised of two independent directors, awarded themselves 140,000 options each, while Mr. Koly received 400,000 options. Non-director employees of the Company received only a total of 17,500 stock options.

We believe that our interests are aligned with yours - to see enhancement in the value of Delcath for all stockholders. We hope that you will join Laddcap in questioning the people who are leading our Company and in demanding accountability from them. Please support our efforts by consenting with respect to all Shares for which you were entitled to give consent as of the Record Date, as set forth on the BLUE Consent Card, in favor of each Proposal.

THE PROPOSALS

 $\label{eq:weareasking} \mbox{ We are asking Delcath stockholders to consent to three Proposals.}$

The first Proposal removes without cause all of the existing directors including, M.S. Koly, Samuel Herschkowitz, M.D., Mark A. Corigliano, Daniel Isdaner and Victor Nevins or any other person or persons (other than the persons elected pursuant to this proposed action by written consent) elected or appointed to the Board prior to the effectiveness of these Proposals. Delcath's certificate of incorporation explicitly allows directors to be removed by stockholders without cause.

WE URGE YOU TO CONSENT TO REMOVE ALL OF THE CURRENT DIRECTORS

The second Proposal elects five new persons as directors of the Company to fill the vacancies resulting from Proposal 1 upon such removal. These new directors are Jonathan A. Foltz, Michael Karpf, M.D., Robert B. Ladd, Paul William Frederick Nicholls and Fred S. Zeidman. Please see the next section with the heading "THE NOMINEES" for information on these nominees.

WE URGE YOU TO CONSENT TO THE ELECTION OF EACH OF THE NOMINEES

The third Proposal repeals each of the provisions of the Company's Bylaws or amendments of the Bylaws that are adopted after December 31, 2005 and before the effectiveness of the foregoing two Proposals. Delcath's Bylaws can be amended by the current Board. In light of this consent solicitation, should the current Board undertake to amend Delcath's Bylaws to thwart the will of the stockholders as expressed by this consent solicitation or for some other reason, this Proposal will simply restore Delcath's Bylaws as they existed before any changes that the current Board may make. As of the date of this consent solicitation statement, we are not aware of any changes to the Company's Bylaws that were adopted after December 31, 2005.

WE URGE YOU TO CONSENT TO REPEAL ANY BYLAWS OR BYLAW AMENDMENTS THAT THE CURRENT BOARD MAY MAKE AFTER DECEMBER 31, 2005

THE NOMINEES

Set forth below are the name, business address, present principal occupation and employment and material occupations, positions, offices, or employment for the past five years of each Nominee. This information has been furnished to Laddcap by each individual Nominee. Each Nominee has agreed to serve as a director of the Company if duly elected, and has consented to being named herein. Each person listed below is a citizen of the United States of America.

NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS AGE PRINCIPAL OCCUPATION FOR PAST FIVE YEARS AND DIRECTORSHIPS

consultant / strategic advisor to high-tech companies and medical device companies, including Delcath Systems, Inc. From 1992 until 2001, he was the full-time Director of Operations of Delcath. Additionally, from June 2002

Jonathan A. Foltz

Jonathan A. Foltz

191 Post Road

Westport, CT 06880

45

Grechnology, LLC, a medical device company developing a catheter for use in gynecology procedures. Mr. Foltz earned a B.S. in Finance and Computer Science from Lehigh University and an M.B.A. from the University of Connecticut. Mr. Foltz has also earned a CFA designation. As a Delcath employee and consultant for the last 14 years, we believe that Mr. Foltz has substantial knowledge of Delcath, public companies and the medical device industry.

Since October, 2003 to the present, Dr. Karpf has served as the Executive Vice President for Health Affairs for the University of Kentucky College of Medicine and Chandler Medical Center (essentially overseeing the operations of a \$1.1 billion operation). From January 1996 to October 2003, he served as the Vice Provost for hospitals at the UCLA Medical Center. Dr. Karpf received both his undergraduate and medical degrees from the University of Pennsylvania. After an internship in Medicine at Johns Hopkins Hospital, he served as a Research Associate in the Laboratory of Immunology at the National Institutes of Health. He returned to the University of Pennsylvania to complete his medical residency, fellowship in Hematology and Oncology and a Chief Residency in Internal Medicine. As the leader of a large health care organization and as a trained Hematologist and Oncologist, we believe that Dr. Karpf has substantial knowledge and experience that will inure to the benefit of Delcath and its stockholders.

From September 2001 to the present, Mr. Foltz has been an independent

Michael Karpf, M.D. c/o UK Chandler Medical Center University of Kentucky Rose Street Lexington, KY 40536 Robert B. Ladd c/o Laddcap Value Associates, LLC 650 Fifth Avenue, Suite 600 New York, NY 10019 Since January 2003 to the present, Mr. Ladd has served as the founder and managing member of Laddcap Value Associates LLC, the general partner of Laddcap Value Partners LP. From 1992 to November 2002, Mr. Ladd served as a Managing Director for Neuberger Berman; his responsibilities at Neuberger Berman included serving as a portfolio manager for various high net worth clients and as a securities analyst. Mr. Ladd graduated from the University of Pennsylvania's Wharton School with a B.S. in Economics in 1980. He received his MBA from Northwestern University's Kellogg School of Management in 1983. Mr. Ladd has also earned a CFA designation. Based on Mr. Ladd's 23 years of experience of investing in and analyzing public securities and public companies, and his fund's substantial holdings in Delcath, we believe that Mr. Ladd is well suited to become a member of Delcath's board.

Paul William Frederick Nicholls c/o Team Continuum Inc. 460 West 49th Street New York, NY 10019 Mr. Nicholls is currently President/CEO of Team Continuum Inc, a 501(c)(3) organization, dedicated to helping people living with cancer. Since September 2000, Mr. Nicholls has been a self-employed Business Development Director consulting with a number of large international organizations, particularly in the Biotech industry. Prior to 2000, Mr. Nicholls was a Business Development Director with the global advertising agency Ogilvy and Mather, specializing in cause related marketing and sponsorships. He was educated at Tonbridge School in England; Mr. Nicholls went on to Graduate from Maidstone College of Art and Design, gaining his FTC with honors. Mr. Nicholls filed for personal bankruptcy in 2002. Mr. Nicholls' personal bankruptcy resulted from his inability to maintain employment while he battled Stage 4 Multiple Myeloma, a cancer occurring in his bone marrow. Mr. Nicholls required aggressive long-term treatment and we are pleased to report that he is now in full remission. We are proud to have Mr. Nicholls as part of our slate; we believe his unique perspective, as a cancer patient (who was a participant in clinical trials of developmental treatments and who has personally experienced the toxicities of melphalan) along with his contacts developed as the President/CEO of Team Continuum, a non-profit organization dedicated to helping people living with cancer, will prove to be valuable assets to Delcath and its stockholders.

58

48

Fred S. Zeidman c/o Greenberg Traurig LLP 1000 Louisiana Street Suite 1800 Houston, TX 77002 Since July 2004, Mr. Zeidman has been a Senior Director of Governmental Affairs of Greenberg Traurig, LLP. Mr. Zeidman served as Seitel Inc.'s interim president and chief executive officer from October 2004 until December 2004. He also served as interim chief executive officer of Seitel Inc. from November 2002 to December 2002. Mr. Zeidman also currently serves as Chairman of the Board of Seitel, Inc. and Corporate Strategies, Inc. and serves as a director of Prosperity Bank and JL French Automotive Castings, Inc., and he was appointed Chairman of the United States Holocaust Memorial Council in Washington, D.C. by President George W. Bush. Mr. Zeidman graduated from Washington University and received an M.B.A. from New York University. Mr. Zeidman was appointed Chairman of the Board of Directors of Seitel, Inc. in 2002 specifically to lead a restructuring effort. In 2002, when Mr. Zeidman was first appointed as Chairman of the Board of Seitel, its market capitalization was under \$15 million. Under Mr. Zeidman's leadership, Seitel was successfully shepherded through a financial restructuring that included Seitel filing for bankruptcy. Following extensive negotiations, every pre-petition creditor of Seitel was paid 100% of their claim. Today, while Mr. Zeidman is still Chairman of the Board, Seitel's market capitalization is nearly \$600 million. We believe that Mr. Zeidman's experience and leadership skills will be very beneficial to Delcath and its stockholders.

CONSENT PROCEDURES

60

Delcath is a Delaware corporation and is, therefore, subject to the General Corporation Law of the State of Delaware (the "DELAWARE GCL"). Section 228(a) of the Delaware GCL provides that, unless otherwise provided in the certificate of incorporation of a corporation, any action required to be or that may be taken at a meeting of stockholders may be taken without a meeting, without prior notice and without a vote, if written consents, setting forth the action so taken, are signed and delivered to the corporation by the holders of outstanding shares having not less than the minimum number of votes that would be necessary to take such action at a meeting at which all shares entitled to vote thereon were present and voted. Delcath's certificate of incorporation does not prohibit stockholder action by written consent.

Section 141(k) of the Delaware GCL provides that, unless otherwise set forth in a corporation's certificate of incorporation, directors elected to a classified (staggered) board may be removed only with cause. Although Delcath has a staggered board, its certificate of incorporation provides that directors may be removed with or without cause, making Laddcap's solicitation to remove all of Delcath's directors possible.

Delcath's certificate of incorporation and By-laws also provide that only directors then in office may fill vacancies on the Board. In the event that Proposal 1 in Laddcap's consent solicitation becomes effective, all directors will be removed and there will be no director in office who could elect directors to fill vacancies. In the event a corporation has no directors in office, Section 223(a) of the Delaware GCL empowers stockholders to call a special meeting of stockholders in accordance with the corporation's certificate of incorporation or bylaws or apply to the Delaware Court of Chancery to order the holding of a meeting of stockholders for an election. Accordingly, since Delcath's stockholders could call a special meeting of stockholders in accordance with Delcath's Bylaws (or alternatively to request that the Delaware Court of Chancery order the holding of one) and elect directors at such a meeting, Laddcap believes that

Proposal 2, electing the new directors by written consent rather than electing the directors as they would be permitted to do at a meeting of Delcath's stockholders, will be effective to elect the new directors. In the event that a Court determines otherwise, however, Laddcap would likely act promptly to attempt to call a special meeting of Delcath's stockholders in accordance with Delcath's Bylaws, or apply to the Delaware Court of Chancery to order such a meeting, to elect its slate of directors.

THE EFFECTIVENESS OF PROPOSAL 1 (THE REMOVAL OF DELCATH'S DIRECTORS) IS NOT CONDITIONED ON THE APPROVAL OR VALIDITY OF PROPOSALS 2 OR 3; THE EFFECTIVENESS OF PROPOSAL 2 (THE ELECTION OF LADDCAP'S SLATE OF DIRECTORS) IS CONDITIONED ON THE APPROVAL OF PROPOSAL 1, BUT NOT CONDITIONED ON THE APPROVAL OR VALIDITY OF PROPOSAL 3; AND THE EFFECTIVENESS OF PROPOSAL 3 (THE REPEAL OF THE POST-DECEMBER 31, 2005 CHANGES TO DELCATH'S BYLAWS) IS NOT CONDITIONED ON THE APPROVAL OR VALIDITY OF PROPOSALS 1 OR 2.

Subject to the foregoing, the Proposals will become effective when Laddcap submits to Delcath properly completed, signed, dated, unrevoked and effective BLUE Consent Cards (or other forms of written consent) indicating consent to the Proposals, signed by the holders of record on the Record Date of a majority of the Shares outstanding as of the Record Date. Under Section 228(c) of the Delaware GCL, such consents must be delivered within 60 days of the earliest dated consent delivered to Delcath, which was July 27, 2006. Accordingly, this consent solicitation must be completed by September 25, 2006. However, Laddcap has established September 15, 2006 as the goal for the submission of written consents to Delcath. If the Proposals are adopted pursuant to this consent solicitation, prompt notice will be given pursuant to Section 228(e) of the Delaware GCL to stockholders who have not executed and returned a BLUE Consent Card (or other forms of written consent).

Because the Proposals will become effective only if executed written consents representing a majority of the Shares outstanding as of the Record Date are returned by holders of record on the Record Date, the following actions will have the same effect as withholding consent to the Proposals: (a) failing to execute and return a BLUE Consent Card or (b) executing and returning a written consent marked "CONSENT WITHHELD" or "ABSTAIN" as to any Proposal. If returned BLUE Consent Cards are executed and dated but not marked with respect to any Proposal, the stockholder returning such card will be deemed to have consented to such Proposal. A broker non-vote will have the same effect as a vote against the Proposals.

PROCEDURAL INSTRUCTIONS

If a stockholder is a record holder of Shares as of the close of business on the Record Date, such stockholder may elect to consent, withhold consent or abstain with respect to a Proposal by marking the "CONSENT", "WITHHOLD CONSENT" or "ABSTAIN" box, as applicable, underneath the Proposal on the accompanying BLUE Consent Card and signing, dating and returning it promptly in the enclosed postage-paid envelope.

UNDER THE DELAWARE GCL, ONLY STOCKHOLDERS OF RECORD ON THE RECORD DATE ARE ELIGIBLE TO GIVE THEIR CONSENT TO THE PROPOSALS. THEREFORE, EACH STOCKHOLDER IS URGED TO GRANT ITS CONSENT PURSUANT TO THE ENCLOSED BLUE CONSENT CARD WITH RESPECT TO ALL SHARES HELD AS OF THE RECORD DATE.

IN ADDITION, ANY STOCKHOLDER OWNING SHARES BENEFICIALLY (BUT NOT OF RECORD), SUCH AS A PERSON WHOSE OWNERSHIP OF SHARES IS THROUGH A BROKER, BANK OR OTHER FINANCIAL INSTITUTION, SHOULD CONTACT THAT BROKER, BANK OR FINANCIAL INSTITUTION WITH INSTRUCTIONS TO EXECUTE THE BLUE CONSENT CARD ON SUCH STOCKHOLDER'S BEHALF OR TO HAVE THE BROKER, BANK OR FINANCIAL INSTITUTION'S NOMINEE EXECUTE THE CONSENT. EACH STOCKHOLDER IS URGED TO ENSURE THAT THE RECORD HOLDER OF SUCH STOCKHOLDER'S SHARES MARKS, SIGNS, DATES AND RETURNS THE ENCLOSED BLUE CONSENT CARD AS SOON AS POSSIBLE. EACH STOCKHOLDER IS FURTHER URGED TO CONFIRM IN WRITING ANY INSTRUCTIONS GIVEN AND PROVIDE A COPY OF SUCH INSTRUCTIONS TO THE ALTMAN GROUP, SO THAT THE ALTMAN GROUP MAY ALSO ATTEMPT TO ENSURE THAT SUCH INSTRUCTIONS ARE FOLLOWED.

REVOCATION OF CONSENTS

Executed written consents may be revoked at any time, provided that a written, dated revocation that clearly identifies the consent being revoked is executed and delivered to The Altman Group, Inc. at 1200 Wall Street West, 3rd Floor, Lyndhurst, NJ 07071 prior to the time that the Proposals become effective. A revocation may be in any written form validly signed by the record holder as of the Record Date as long as it clearly states that the written consent previously given is no longer effective. It is requested that a copy of any revocation sent to Laddcap also be given to The Altman Group, Inc. at the above address so that Laddcap may more accurately determine if and when written consent to each Proposal has been received from the holders of record on the Record Date of a majority of the Shares then outstanding.

LADDCAP URGES YOU NOT TO SIGN ANY REVOCATION OF CONSENT CARD THAT MAY BE SENT TO YOU BY DELCATH. IF YOU HAVE DONE SO, YOU MAY REVOKE THAT REVOCATION OF CONSENT BY DELIVERING A LATER DATED BLUE CONSENT CARD TO THE ALTMAN GROUP AT 1200 WALL STREET WEST, 3RD FLOOR, LYNDHURST, NJ 07071.

SOLICITATION OF CONSENTS

The solicitation of written consents pursuant to this consent solicitation is being made by Laddcap. Consents may be solicited by mail, by facsimile, by telephone, by telegraph, by other electronic means, in person and by advertisements.

Laddcap has retained The Altman Group, Inc. for advisory services in connection with this solicitation, for which The Altman Group will receive a fee not to exceed \$40,000, together with reimbursement of its reasonable out-of-pocket expenses. In addition, under certain circumstances, The Altman Group may receive additional amounts. Laddcap will solicit written consents from individuals, brokers, banks, bank nominees and other institutional holders.

Laddcap has requested banks, brokerage houses and other custodians, nominees and fiduciaries to forward all solicitation materials to the beneficial owners of the Shares they hold of record. Laddcap will reimburse these record holders for their reasonable out-of-pocket expenses in so doing. It is anticipated that The Altman Group will employ approximately 15 persons in connection with its services to Laddcap.

DELCATH EMPLOYMENT AGREEMENTS AND CHANGE OF CONTROL PAYMENTS

As set forth in Delcath's Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders on Schedule 14A, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 24, 2006, on October 1, 2003, Delcath amended and restated the employment agreement with M. S. Koly, Delcath's President and CEO. Mr. Koly's amended employment agreement provided for a base salary of \$275,000, subject to upward adjustment in the discretion of the Compensation Committee of the Board. The agreement had an initial term ending on September 30, 2006. Thereafter, the term automatically renews for successive one-year periods unless the Company or Mr. Koly, as the case may be, gives notice of non-renewal. During 2004, the Compensation Committee approved increasing Mr. Koly's base salary to \$300,000 per year and extended the term of his employment to September 30, 2008.

In the event of termination by the Company without cause, whether or not due to a change in control (as defined in the employment agreement), Mr. Koly is entitled to a lump sum severance payment equal to twice the sum of his then effective base salary, the average of his bonuses for the three years preceding termination and the annual cost of his fringe benefits (other than stock options and similar benefits). If this consent solicitation is successful, a change of control will have occurred (as defined in the employment agreement) and it may entitle Mr. Koly to the severance payments as set forth above, should Mr. Koly seek to enforce this provision of his employment agreement. Based on the information publicly disclosed by Delcath in its preliminary Schedule 14A filed August 7, 2006, we believe that the payment to Mr. Koly under this provision of his employment agreement would be approximately \$605,000 plus the annual cost of his fringe benefits.

Moreover, at Mr. Koly's election, the Company will also be obligated to pay him the excess of the aggregate fair market value of shares subject to options held by him over the aggregate exercise price of such options. Termination payments would be reduced to the extent such payments would be subject to an excise tax as "excess parachute payments" under applicable federal tax law. Based on the information publicly disclosed by Delcath in its preliminary Schedule 14A filed August 7, 2006, we believe that based upon a market price of Delcath's stock of \$5.00 (the closing price on August 11, 2006), the payment to Mr. Koly under this provision of his employment agreement, should Mr. Koly elect, would be approximately \$1,803,525 before any reduction for possible excess parachute payments. Upon payment of this amount, Mr. Koly's stock options would terminate.

The Company in its Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders on Schedule 14A, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 24, 2006 and in all prior public filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission disclosed that in 2003, Delcath's

Compensation and Stock Option Committee approved payments to its directors (including officers who are also directors) in the case of a hostile takeover of the Company (the "HOSTILE TAKEOVER PLAN"). The payment would, in each case, be determined by multiplying the excess of the price per share paid in the takeover transaction over \$0.98 (the fair market value per share on December 11, 2003) multiplied by a number of shares (that would be subject to adjustment in the event of stock dividends, stock splits or recapitalizations). Such numbers are 500,000 in the case of Mr. Koly and 300,000 each in the case of Dr. Herschkowitz, Mr. Corigliano, Mr. Isdaner and Mr. Nevins. In the event of a hostile takeover, each non-employee director would also receive a \$100,000 cash payment in recognition of past service (the "PAST SERVICE PAYMENT PLAN").

The Company, in its preliminary Schedule 14A filed August 7, 2006, has changed the disclosure respecting the Hostile Takeover Plan. Historically, the Company disclosed to its stockholders that payments would be due to its directors under the Hostile Takeover Plan only in the case of a "hostile takeover." On August 7, 2006 the Company, for the first time, disclosed expanded circumstances under which payments may be due to directors under the Hostile Takeover Plan, to include: (a) if any person is or becomes the beneficial owner, directly or indirectly, of more than 30% of the Company's outstanding Common Stock; (b) Messrs. Koly, Herschkowitz, Isdaner, Corigliano and Nevins (the "PRESENT DIRECTORS") (and any director who was elected by the vote of at least two-thirds of the Present Directors or directors whose election was previously so approved) cease to constitute a majority of the Board; (c) the shareholders of the Company approve a merger or consolidation of the Company with any other company, other than (i) a merger or consolidation which would result in the Company's Common Stock outstanding immediately prior thereto continuing to represent more than 50% of the combined voting power of the voting securities of the Company or such surviving entity outstanding immediately after such merger or consolidation or (ii) a merger or consolidation effected to implement a recapitalization of the Company in which no person acquires more than 50% of the combined voting power of the Company's then outstanding Common Stock; and (d) the shareholders of the Company approve a plan of complete liquidation of the Company or an agreement for the sale or disposition by the Company of all or substantially all of the Company's assets.

Based upon the information set forth in Delcath's Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders on Schedule 14A, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 24, 2006 and the changed disclosure set forth in its recently filed preliminary Schedule 14A, Laddcap believes that, should the directors be removed as a result of this consent solicitation, the directors would not be entitled to the payments under the Hostile Takeover Plan because the payment that is to be made under the Hostile Takeover Plan is calculated by multiplying the excess of the price per share paid in the takeover transaction over \$0.98 (the fair market value per share on December 11, 2003) multiplied by a number of shares (that would be subject to adjustment in the event of stock dividends, stock splits or recapitalizations). In the case of a removal of a director without cause, there is no "price paid per share in the takeover transaction," and accordingly, there is no excess over \$0.98 to be paid. However, there can be no quarantee that the directors who are removed from their office as a result of a successful consent solicitation will not seek these payments from the Company pursuant to the Hostile Takeover Plan. Additionally, if this consent solicitation is successful, Delcath's non-employee board members may seek payments under the Past Service Payment Plan. We believe that these payments may total \$300,000.

LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

On August 4, 2006, the Company filed suit in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia against Robert Ladd, Laddcap Value Partners LP, Laddcap Advisors LLC and Laddcap Value Associates LLC. The suit alleges violations of Sections 14(a) and 13(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and SEC Rules 14a-9, 13d-1 and 13d-5. In connection with the action, Delcath has filed a motion for a preliminary injunction seeking, among other things, to prevent Laddcap from soliciting consents from our fellow Delcath stockholders and as a result to interfere with Delcath's stockholders' exercise of their right to replace the current Board. Please be assured that Laddcap intends to fight vigorously Delcath's defensive maneuvering.

On August 4, 2006, the Company filed an action in Connecticut state $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$ court in Stamford against Mr. Jonathan Foltz, one of our nominees and a former consultant / employee to the Company (the "CONNECTICUT Suit"). The Connecticut Suit asserts various claims against Mr. Foltz, including violations of the Connecticut Uniform Trade Secrets Act, Connecticut Unfair Trade Practices Act and breach of the duty of loyalty. Delcath seeks damages from Mr. Foltz in the form of both compensatory and injunctive relief. Moreover, the Company obtained an order from the Connecticut state court (the "ORDER"), at a hearing that neither Mr. Foltz nor his counsel were given notice of, that prohibits Mr. Foltz from, among other things, discussing, disclosing or disseminating any of Delcath's confidential information to any individual or company. Mr. Foltz believes that this lawsuit is without merit, and is simply an attempt to intimidate him and have him withdraw as a nominee. Moreover, Mr. Foltz believes that the Order of the Connecticut state court will not interfere with his ability to be a nominee. Mr. Foltz has advised us that he remains committed to serving as a nominee and as a director, if elected. Laddcap has orally agreed to reimburse Mr. Foltz for certain legal fees he incurs as a result of the Connecticut Suit.

SOLICITATION EXPENSES

The expenses of preparing, printing and distributing this Preliminary Consent Solicitation Statement and the cost of soliciting will be borne by Laddcap. Such expenses are estimated to be approximately \$200,000 of which approximately \$20,000 have been incurred to date. If it is successful, Laddcap may seek reimbursement from Delcath for expenses incurred in connection with its consent solicitation. If such reimbursement is sought, Laddcap will submit the request for a vote of Delcath's Board.

In addition to the use of the mails, solicitation may be made by means of facsimile, by telephone, by telegraph, by other electronic means, in person and by advertisements, to or with, stockholders or their personal representatives by certain of Laddcap's participants, none of whom will be specifically compensated for such services. Additionally, the Laddcap participants may use various Internet sites to communicate with Delcath stockholders. Copies of soliciting materials will be furnished to banks, brokerage houses and other custodians,

nominees and fiduciaries for forwarding to the beneficial owners of Shares for whom they hold Shares, and Laddcap will reimburse them for their reasonable out-of-pocket expenses in connection therewith.

PARTICIPANT INFORMATION

Under applicable rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission, Laddcap, Laddcap Value Associates LLC ("ASSOCIATES"), Robert B. Ladd, and each of Laddcap's nominees is deemed to be a "participant" in Laddcap's consent solicitation. Robert B. Ladd is the managing member of Associates, the general partner of Laddcap, and he possesses the sole power to vote and the sole power to dispose of the Shares held by Laddcap.

In connection with the participants, Laddcap furnishes the following information. Laddcap is a Delaware limited partnership, Associates is a Delaware limited liability company and together with Robert B. Ladd have a business address at 650 Fifth Avenue, Suite 600, New York, New York 10019. As of July 27, 2006, Laddcap is the beneficial owner of 2,163,527 Shares. Information about transactions by Laddcap in Delcath's Shares during the past two years can be found in Appendix A to this Preliminary Consent Solicitation Statement.

Jonathan A. Foltz, a nominee, furnishes the following information. Mr. Foltz is a natural person with a business address of 191 Post Road Westport, CT 06880. As of July 27, 2006, Mr. Foltz is the beneficial owner of 20,200 Shares and 10,000 non-incentive stock options to acquire Shares (the "OPTIONS"). Since Mr. Foltz is no longer providing services to Delcath, the Options will expire and accordingly are worthless. Information about transactions by Mr. Foltz in Delcath's Shares during the past two years can be found in Appendix B to this Preliminary Consent Solicitation Statement. From September 2001 to August 2002, Mr. Foltz had a written consulting agreement with Delcath under which he provided services such as preparing public filings, managing investor relations and developing business development strategy. Since August 2002, Mr. Foltz has continued to provide consulting services to Delcath on as-needed, per diem basis. During the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005 and from the period of January 1, 2006 to July 15, 2006, Mr. Foltz was paid \$97,350 and \$42,250 respectively for these consulting services. Moreover, Delcath owes Mr. Foltz \$3,250 for accrued but unpaid consulting fees. As of July 27, 2006, Mr. Foltz is no longer providing these consulting services to Delcath. Mr. Foltz's brother is an attorney with Buttermore and Foltz, which had provided legal services to the Company. Buttermore and Foltz might have received more than five percent of its income from Delcath. Mr. Foltz is unaware of the amount of income that Buttermore and Foltz or his brother may have received from Delcath for providing legal services to the Company. Laddcap understands that effective on or about August 1, 2006, Buttermore and Foltz is no longer providing legal services to Delcath.

Laddcap has orally agreed to reimburse Mr. Foltz for certain legal fees he incurs as a result of the Connecticut Suit. Additionally, Laddcap has orally agreed to pay Mr. Foltz, over a two-month period, a consulting fee totaling \$40,000 and to reimburse Mr. Foltz a total of \$1,800 for certain office expenses. On August 1, 2006 and August 10, 2006 Laddcap paid \$20,000 and \$1,800 respectively as partial payments for these consulting fees and expenses. Mr. Foltz is expected to consult with Laddcap on the medical device industry, to review certain public filings and to consult on the capital markets. Mr. Koly (Delcath's current President and

CEO) and Dr. Herschkowitz (Delcath's current Chief Technical Officer) have indicated in the Company's public filings that they would quit as employees of Delcath should the Current Board be removed. If this consent solicitation is successful and Mr. Koly and Dr. Herschkowitz quit as they stated they would, Mr. Foltz has indicated that he would be willing to immediately step in to manage the affairs of Delcath on an interim or permanent basis as the new Board may determine. As set forth in the section titled "The Nominees", Mr. Foltz has been an employee and consultant for the Company for the last 14 years and is thoroughly familiar with the Company and its operations. No arrangement or understanding has been reached with Mr. Foltz regarding his future employment with Delcath, if any. Ultimately, the new Board would have the authority and duty to manage the affairs of Delcath as it deems to be in the best interests of Delcath and its stockholders.

Dr. Michael Karpf, a nominee, furnishes the following information. Dr. Karpf is a natural person with a business address of c/o UK Chandler Medical Center University of Kentucky, Rose Street, Lexington, KY 40536. As of July 27, 2006, Dr. Karpf does not beneficially own any Delcath securities. Paul William Frederick Nicholls, a nominee, furnishes the following information. Mr. Nicholls is natural person with a business address of c/o Team Continuum Inc., 460 West 49th Street, New York, NY 10019. As of July 27, 2006, Mr. Nicholls does not beneficially own any Delcath securities. Mr. Fred S. Zeidman, a nominee, furnishes the following information. Mr. Zeidman is a natural person with a business address of c/o Greenberg Traurig LLP, 1000 Louisiana Street, Suite 1800, Houston, TX 77002. As of July 27, 2006, Mr. Zeidman does not beneficially own any Delcath securities.

Except as disclosed in this Preliminary Consent Solicitation Statement (including the appendices hereto), neither Laddcap nor any of the other participants, nor any of their respective affiliates or associates, directly or indirectly: (i) has had any relationship with the Company in any capacity other than as a stockholder; (ii) has any agreement, arrangement or understanding with respect to any future employment by the Company or its affiliates; (iii) has any agreement, arrangement or understanding with respect to future transactions to which the Company or any of its affiliates will or may be a party, or have any material interest, direct or indirect, in any transaction that has occurred since January 1, 2005 or any currently proposed transaction, or series of similar transactions, which the Company or any of its affiliates was or is to be a party and in which the amount involved exceeds \$60,000; (iv) is, and was not within the past year, party to any contract, arrangement or understandings with any person with respect to any securities of the Company including, but not limited to, joint ventures, loan or option arrangements, puts or calls, guarantees against loans or guarantees of profit, division of losses or profits or the giving or withholding of proxies; or (v) is a party adverse to the Company or any of its subsidiaries or has a material interest adverse to the Company or any of its subsidiaries in any material legal proceeding.

THE ALTMAN GROUP, INC.

1200 Wall Street West, 3rd Floor, Lyndhurst, NJ 07071
(800) 581-5375

Banks and Brokers Call Collect: (201) 806-7300

LADDCAP VALUE PARTNERS LP 650 Fifth Avenue, Suite 600 New York, NY 10019 (212) 259-2070

RECENT TRANSACTIONS INVOLVING SECURITIES OF DELCATH BY LADDCAP

APPENDIX A

PURCHASE OR SALE	NUMBER OF SHARES	DATE
purchase	20,000	12/30/04
purchase	5,000	1/6/05
purchase	30,000	1/20/05
purchase	10,000	1/25/05
purchase	30,000	1/28/05
purchase	30,330	1/31/05
purchase	7,170	2/1/05
purchase	3,000	2/2/05
purchase	1,800	2/3/05
purchase	23,000	2/4/05
purchase	5,000	2/9/05
purchase	4,700	2/11/05
purchase	5,000	2/18/05
purchase	4,100	2/25/05
purchase	30,300	3/7/05
sale	1,000	3/7/05
purchase	13,600	3/8/05
purchase	12,300	3/9/05
purchase	38,700	3/10/05
purchase	3,000	3/11/05
purchase	7,000	3/14/05
purchase	2,600	3/16/05
purchase	2,000	3/21/05
purchase	2,400	3/23/05
purchase	5,000	4/1/05
purchase	1,000	4/29/05
purchase	7,400	5/6/05
sale	6,000	5/6/05
purchase	2,600	5/9/05
purchase	50,000	5/11/05
sale	60,000	5/11/05
purchase	76,000	5/12/05
purchase	32,600	5/13/05
purchase	14,400	5/16/05
purchase	8,000	5/17/05
purchase	3,400	5/18/05
purchase	10,600	5/25/05
purchase	10,000	6/1/05
purchase	5,000	6/2/05
purchase	4,950	6/7/05

PURCHASE OR SALE	NUMBER OF SHARES	DATE
purchase	3,100	6/8/05
purchase	17,150	6/10/05
purchase	4,800	6/13/05
purchase	16,500	6/14/05
purchase	13,500	6/15/05
sale	3,000	6/16/05
sale	3,400	6/17/05
purchase	9,000	6/21/05
purchase	24,000	6/23/05
sale	1,000	6/24/05
purchase	2,920	6/27/05
sale	4,400	6/27/05
purchase	5 , 820	6/30/05
purchase	10,060	7/8/05
purchase	100	7/12/05
purchase	47 , 876	7/19/05
purchase	2,024	7/20/05
purchase	12,400	7/21/05
purchase	8,100	7/26/05
purchase	9,380	7/27/05
purchase	28 , 770	7/28/05
purchase	13,491	7/29/05
purchase	16,441	8/1/05
purchase	500	8/3/05
purchase	12,430	8/4/05
purchase	18,100	8/10/05
purchase	6 , 625	8/11/05
purchase	40,502	8/12/05
purchase	21,100	8/15/05
purchase	10,000	8/16/05
purchase	6,000	8/18/05
purchase	19,353	8/22/05
purchase	5,408	8/25/05
purchase	14,640	10/7/05
purchase	43,200	10/10/05
purchase	27 , 303	10/11/05
purchase	7,800	10/13/05
purchase	8 , 500	10/14/05
purchase	19,148	10/18/05
purchase	42,900	10/19/05
purchase	44,140	10/20/05
purchase	9,000	10/25/05
purchase	29,000	10/31/05
purchase	51,053	11/1/05
purchase	8,416	11/2/05
purchase	26,794	11/3/05

PURCHASE OR SALE	NUMBER OF SHARES	DATE
,	2 455	11 /4 /05
purchase	3,477	11/4/05
purchase	4,100	11/7/05
purchase	7,200	11/8/05
purchase	52,040	11/9/05
purchase	12,000	11/10/05
purchase	45,000	11/11/05
purchase	11,240	11/21/05
purchase	30,150	11/23/05
purchase	7,100	11/25/05
purchase	6,000	11/28/05
purchase	18,125	11/30/05
purchase	9,100	12/1/05
purchase	24,200	12/2/05
purchase	1,000	12/5/05
purchase	58 , 500	12/12/05
purchase	41,210	12/13/05
purchase	37 , 167	12/14/05
purchase	4,500	12/20/05
purchase	3,000	12/21/05
purchase	16,420	12/22/05
purchase	24,615	12/23/05
purchase	3,300	12/27/05
purchase	82,374	12/28/05
purchase	64,389	12/30/05
purchase	27,512	1/6/06
purchase	79,467	1/9/06
sale	79,467	1/9/06
purchase	12,435	1/11/06
sale	15,000	1/24/06
purchase	800	1/25/06
sale	17,448	1/27/06
sale	22,150	1/30/06
purchase	27,200	2/1/06
purchase	58,500	2/2/06
sale	34,450	2/7/06
sale	11,000	2/8/06
sale	14,900	2/15/06
purchase	46,049	2/16/06
sale	9,249	2/17/06
purchase	103,500	2/22/06
sale	30,000	3/14/06
purchase	36,000	3/15/06
sale	48,500	3/15/06
purchase	10,000	3/16/06
purchase	11,475	3/17/06
purchase	7,525	3/20/06

PURCHASE OR SALE	NUMBER OF SHARES	DATE
purchase	3,200	3/29/06
sale	12,100	3/29/06
purchase	1,400	3/30/06
purchase	31,600	4/3/06
purchase	25,000	4/4/06
purchase	10,000	4/5/06
purchase	5,000	4/6/06
purchase	22,000	4/17/06
purchase	6,891	4/21/06
purchase	27,300	4/28/06
purchase	23,548	5/10/06
purchase	23,700	5/19/06
purchase	41,700	6/9/06
purchase	27,000	6/13/06
purchase	17,800	6/28/06
purchase	10,000	6/30/06
purchase	17,488	7/11/06
purchase	16,000	7/13/06
purchase	39,956	8/2/06
purchase	2,600	8/3/06
purchase	5,000	8/4/06
purchase	2,000	8/7/06
purchase	3,700	8/8/06
purchase	1,000	8/9/06
purchase	2,200	8/10/06
purchase	3,100	8/11/06

APPENDIX B

RECENT TRANSACTIONS INVOLVING SECURITIES OF DELCATH BY JONATHAN A. FOLTZ

PURCHASE OR SALE	NUMBER OF SHARES	DATE
sale*	1,000	10/27/04
purchase**	5,000	11/1/04
sale	1,000	12/3/04
purchase***	2,000	12/16/04
sale	1,000	1/20/05
purchase****	2,500	9/6/05
purchase***	2,000	11/21/05
sold	1,000	5/9/06
sold	600	5/12/06
purchase***	6,000	6/19/06

Sale of Delcath 2003 Warrant Exercise of warrant Exercise of stock option Grant of common shares

^{***}

^{***}

[FORM OF CONSENT CARD]

[PRELIMINARY COPY - SUBJECT TO COMPLETION]

CONSENT OF STOCKHOLDER OF DELCATH SYSTEMS, INC. TO ACTION WITHOUT A MEETING:

THIS CONSENT IS SOLICITED BY LADDCAP VALUE PARTNERS LP

Unless otherwise indicated below, the undersigned, a stockholder of record of Delcath Systems, Inc. (the "COMPANY") on July 27, 2006 (the "RECORD DATE"), hereby consents pursuant to Section 228(a) of the General Corporation Law of the State of Delaware with respect to all shares of common stock of the Company, \$0.01 par value per share (the "SHARES") held by the undersigned to the taking of the actions set forth below without a meeting of the stockholders of the Company. By executing this consent card, the undersigned hereby revokes any and all prior consents and hereby affirms that, as of the Record Date, the undersigned had the power to deliver a consent for the number of Shares represented by this consent.

IF NO BOX IS MARKED FOR A PROPOSAL, THE UNDERSIGNED WILL BE DEEMED TO CONSENT TO SUCH PROPOSAL, EXCEPT THAT THE UNDERSIGNED WILL NOT BE DEEMED TO CONSENT TO THE REMOVAL OF ANY CURRENT DIRECTOR OR TO THE ELECTION OF ANY NOMINEE WHOSE NAME IS WRITTEN IN THE SPACE PROVIDED.

LADDCAP VALUE PARTNERS LP RECOMMENDS THAT YOU CONSENT TO

PROPOSALS 1-3.

FAILURE TO EXECUTE AND RETURN THIS CONSENT CARD WILL HAVE THE EFFECT OF A VOTE AGAINST THE ACTIONS DESCRIBED BELOW.

SIGNED BUT UNMARKED CONSENT CARDS WILL BE DEEMED TO GIVE CONSENT TO THE ACTIONS SET FORTH BELOW.

The removal without cause of M.S. Koly, Samuel Herschkowitz, M.D., Mark A. Corigliano, Daniel Isdaner and Victor Nevins as directors of the Company and any other person or persons (other than the persons elected pursuant to this proposed action by written consent) elected or appointed to the Board of Directors of the Company prior to the effectiveness of these Proposals.

[] [] [] Consent Withhold Consent Abstain

INSTRUCTION: TO CONSENT, WITHHOLD CONSENT OR ABSTAIN FROM CONSENTING TO THE REMOVAL OF THE FIVE DIRECTORS, CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOX ABOVE. IF YOU WISH TO CONSENT TO THE REMOVAL OF CERTAIN OF THE ABOVE-NAMED PERSONS, BUT NOT ALL OF THEM, CHECK THE "CONSENT" BOX ABOVE AND WRITE THE NAME OF EACH SUCH PERSON YOU DO NOT WISH REMOVED IN THE FOLLOWING SPACE:

2. The election of the following five persons as directors of the Company to fill the vacancies resulting from Proposal 1: Jonathan A. Foltz, Michael Karpf, M.D., Robert B. Ladd, Paul William Frederick Nicholls and Fred S. Zeidman.

[] [] Consent Withhold Consent Abstain

INSTRUCTION: TO CONSENT, WITHHOLD CONSENT OR ABSTAIN FROM CONSENTING TO THE ELECTION OF ALL THE ABOVE-NAMED PERSONS, CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOX ABOVE. IF YOU WISH TO CONSENT TO THE ELECTION OF CERTAIN OF THE ABOVE-NAMED PERSONS, BUT NOT ALL OF THEM, CHECK THE "CONSENT" BOX ABOVE AND WRITE THE NAME OF EACH SUCH PERSON YOU DO NOT WISH ELECTED IN THE FOLLOWING SPACE:

_ ------

3. The repeal of each provision of the Company's Bylaws or amendments of the Bylaws that are adopted after December 31, 2005 and before the effectiveness of the foregoing two Proposals (as of the date of the accompanying consent solicitation statement, we are not aware of any changes to the Company's Bylaws that were adopted after December 31, 2005).

[]	[]	[]
Consent	Withhold Consent	Abstair

IN THE ABSENCE OF WITHHOLDING OR ABSTAINING BEING INDICATED ABOVE, THE UNDERSIGNED HEREBY CONSENTS TO EACH ACTION LISTED ABOVE.

IN ORDER FOR YOUR CONSENT TO BE VALID, IT MUST BE DATED.

Date:	′	2006
Signature:		
Signature: (if held jointly)	 	
Title(s):	 	

Please sign exactly as name appears on stock certificates or on label affixed hereto. When shares are held by joint tenants, both should sign. In case of joint owners, EACH joint owner should sign. When signing as attorney, executor, administrator, trustee, guardian, corporate officer, etc., give full title as such.

THE EFFECTIVENESS OF PROPOSAL 1 (THE REMOVAL OF DELCATH'S DIRECTORS) IS NOT CONDITIONED ON THE APPROVAL OR VALIDITY OF PROPOSALS 2 OR 3; THE EFFECTIVENESS OF PROPOSAL 2 (THE ELECTION OF LADDCAP'S SLATE OF DIRECTORS) IS CONDITIONED ON THE APPROVAL OF PROPOSAL 1, BUT NOT CONDITIONED ON THE APPROVAL OR VALIDITY OF PROPOSAL 3; AND THE EFFECTIVENESS OF PROPOSAL 3 (THE REPEAL OF THE POST-DECEMBER 31, 2005 CHANGES TO DELCATH'S BYLAWS) IS NOT CONDITIONED ON THE APPROVAL OR VALIDITY OF PROPOSALS 1 OR 2.

THIS SOLICITATION IS BEING MADE BY LADDCAP VALUE PARTNERS LP AND NOT ON BEHALF OF THE COMPANY.

PLEASE SIGN, DATE AND MAIL YOUR CONSENT PROMPTLY IN THE POSTAGE-PAID ENVELOPE ENCLOSED.

If you have any questions about this Consent Card or any other questions, The Altman Group, Inc. will be pleased to assist you.

THE ALTMAN GROUP, INC.

1200 Wall Street West, 3rd Floor, Lyndhurst, NJ 07071
(800) 581-5375

Banks and Brokers Call Collect: (201) 806-7300

LOWENSTEIN SANDLER PC 65 LIVINGSTON AVENUE ROSELAND, NEW JERSEY 07068 (973) 597-2470

August 14, 2006

VIA EDGAR AND FACSIMILE 202-772-9203

Michael Pressman, Esq.
Special Counsel, Office of Mergers
and Acquisitions
Securities and Exchange Commission
450 Fifth Street N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20549

RE: DELCATH SYSTEMS, INC. ("DELCATH")
PREC14A FILED AUGUST 1, 2006
ADDITIONAL SOLICITING MATERIALS FILED AUGUST 3 AND AUGUST 10, 2006
FILED BY ROBERT LADDCAP VALUE PARTNERS LP
FILE NO. 5-60851

Dear Mr. Pressman:

This letter is written in response to the staff's comment letter, dated August 10, 2006, with respect to the captioned filings. In each instance, I have set forth the staff's comment followed by the response of Laddcap Value Partners LP ("LADDCAP") to each.

SEC COMMENT. The additional soliciting materials filed on August 3rd, 2006 were filed with the EDGAR tags "DEFN14A" and "PREC14A." Please note that you should have filed the materials under the header tag "DFAN14A." Please utilize appropriate header tags with subsequent filings.

RESPONSE. The staff's comment is acknowledged and Laddcap will make the correction for all subsequent filings as applicable.

PREC14A FILED AUGUST 1, 2006

2. SEC COMMENT. You identify several participants in the solicitation in addition to those already identified on the cover page. Advise us, with a view toward revised disclosure, why these persons have not been identified as participants on the cover of Schedule 14A. SEE Instruction 3(a)(ii) and (iii) to Item 4 of Schedule 14A.

RESPONSE. The staff's comment is acknowledged and Laddcap will revise the cover to Schedule 14A accordingly.

3. SEC COMMENT. We note that proposal would repeal each of the provision of the Bylaws or amendments of the Bylaws adopted after December 31, 2005. With a view to revised disclosure and a revised consent card, please advise the staff whether you are aware of any provision or amendment adopted after December 31, 2005.

RESPONSE. Laddcap is not aware of any amendments to Delcath's By-laws since December 31, 2005 and will include disclosure to that effect in the Consent Solicitation Statement and the Consent Card.

LETTER TO SHAREHOLDERS

SEC COMMENT. Please expand your disclosure to describe the purpose of retaining an investment bank. In that regard, we note that in previous filings Mr. Ladd indicates that the purposed of retaining an investment bank was to explore the sale of the company.

> RESPONSE. Laddcap has included disclosure that further explains its purpose for Delcath retaining an investment bank. Such disclosure explains that the retention of an investment bank does not compel the company to be sold. Rather, such retention will allow Delcath and its board to be provided with expert advice with respect to, among other things, capital raising, strategic transactions, more visibility with research analysts and more visibility within the medical device industry. Laddcap believes that Delcath and its board can only benefit from hearing the views and perspective of industry experts. Moreover, Laddcap does not have a current intention to compel an immediate sale of Delcath should Robert Ladd and his nominees remove Delcath's existing directors and become members of Delcath's board. Any decision to retain investment bankers or to take other strategic decisions rests solely with Delcath's Board of Directors; such decisions cannot be taken unilaterally by Laddcap. Moreover, Laddcap believes that a sale of Delcath would require the approval of Delcath's stockholders.

REASONS FOR THE SOLICITATION, PAGE 9

5. SEC COMMENT. We note your statement that none of the three current independent directors "have any demonstrable experience regarding public companies and/or the medical device industry." Please revise your disclosure to address that several of the nominees you propose also lack demonstrable experience regarding public companies and/or the medical device industry. For instance, Mr. Ladd appears to possess no such experience.

RESPONSE. The statement regarding the experience of the three existing independent directors was deleted. In addition, disclosure has been included under the heading "THE NOMINEES" that explains further the expertise that each nominee brings to Delcath that Laddcap believes will be beneficial to Delcath. Laddcap respectfully submits, though, that Mr. Ladd does have public company experience based on his 23 years of experience of investing in and analyzing public securities and public companies. Prior to forming Laddcap, Mr. Ladd was a Managing Director at Neuberger Berman. His responsibilities at Neuberger Berman included serving as a portfolio manager for various high net worth clients and as a securities analyst.

- 6. SEC COMMENT. Please characterize each statement or assertion of opinion or belief as such, and ensure that a reasonable basis for each such opinion or belief exists. Support for each statement or assertion of opinion or belief must be self-evident, disclosed in the proxy materials, or provided to the staff on a supplemental basis. For example, provide support for your statements that:
 - o Delcath and Mr. Koly have refused to engage in "a meaningful dialogue."
 - o "the recent changes proposed by Mr. Koly are cosmetic changes."
 - o "Delcath's treasury may be funding what ostensibly appears to be a personal lawsuit."

RESPONSE. The staff's comment with respect to the need to characterize each statement or assertion of opinion or belief as such and ensure that a reasonable basis for each opinion or belief exists is acknowledged and Laddcap will seek to follow such direction.

With respect to the statement regarding Mr. Koly's refusal to engage in meaningful dialogue, since last October, Laddcap has on numerous occasions written to Delcath and Mr. Koly with observations and suggestions on how the affairs of Delcath can be better managed. Laddcap has never received an answer to these letters. Moreover, Laddcap has unsuccessfully tried, on numerous occasions, to schedule a meeting with Mr. Koly. For example, in December, 2005, a meeting between Laddcap and Mr. Koly was scheduled but only on the condition, imposed by Mr. Koly, that Laddcap execute a confidentiality agreement that provided, among other things, that Laddcap pay Delcath \$50,000 in liquidated damages should the confidentiality agreement be breached in any respect. Obviously, Laddcap could not execute such an onerous confidentiality agreement, thereby precluding any meeting or meaningful dialogue with Mr. Koly.

With respect to the statement that changes are cosmetic, Laddcap has amended its disclosure so that is reads as follows: "Mr. Ladd believes the recent changes proposed by

Mr. Koly are cosmetic changes and will not materially change the Delcath Board's ability to exercise true independent control over Delcath's management or to set a strategic direction for Delcath that seeks to increase stockholder value because the Current Board would still effectively exercise control and the balance of power would not have shifted from the Current Board to new independent directors."

With respect to the specific statement that "Delcath's treasury may be funding what ostensibly appears to be a personal lawsuit." Laddcap has included additional discussion of its belief. Please note that a copy of the original complaint involving the lawsuit was previously provided to the Staff on a supplemental basis. A copy of the original complaint and the new complaint is being provided via overnight courier.

THE NOMINEES, PAGE 13

7. SEC COMMENT. Please disclose whether any of your nominees have been involved in bankruptcy proceedings as required by Item 401(f) of Regulation S-K.

RESPONSE. Additional disclosure regarding Messrs. Nicholls and Zeidman is included with respect to bankruptcy proceedings. This information was unintentionally omitted from the first filing.

DELCATH EMPLOYMENT AGREEMENTS AND CHANGE IN CONTROL PAYMENTS, PAGE 17

SEC COMMENT. Please quantify, if practicable, the total payments potentially to be made under the agreements discussed in this section.

RESPONSE. Additional disclosure has been included of the approximate amount of the total payments based on Delcath's public filings.

PARTICIPANTS IN THE SOLICITATION, PAGE 18

9. SEC COMMENT. In addition, it appears you only provide participant information for Laddcap and Mr. Foltz. Please revise to include all required participant information in accordance with Items 4(b) and 5(b) of Schedule 14A.

RESPONSE. The requested change has been made.

As requested, enclosed please find a letter from Laddcap Value Partners LP acknowledging certain items.

Should you have any questions with respect to the foregoing, please contact the undersigned or Robert G. Minion, Esq. (973) 597-2424 or Richard Bernstein, Esq. (973) 422-6714 at your earliest convenience.

Very truly yours,

/s/ Jeffrey M. Shapiro

Jeffrey M. Shapiro Member of the Firm

cc: Mr. Robert Ladd
 Robert G. Minion, Esq.
 Richard Bernstein, Esq.

Laddcap Value Partners LP 650 Fifth Avenue, Suite 600 New York, New York 10019

August 14, 2006

VIA EDGAR AND FACSIMILE 202-772-9203

Michael Pressman, Esq.
Special Counsel, Office of Mergers
and Acquisitions
Securities and Exchange Commission
450 Fifth Street N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20549

RE: DELCATH SYSTEMS, INC. ("DELCATH")
PREC14A FILED AUGUST 1, 2006

ADDITIONAL SOLICITING MATERIALS FILED AUGUST 3 AND AUGUST 10, 2006

FILED BY ROBERT LADDCAP VALUE PARTNERS LP

FILE NO. 5-60851

Dear Mr. Pressman:

In connection with the response by my counsel, Lowenstein Sandler PC, to your comment letter dated August 10, 2006 with respect to the captioned filing, I acknowledge that:

- o I am responsible for the adequacy of the disclosure in the Filing;
- o Staff comments or changes to disclosure in response to staff comments do not foreclose the Securities and Exchange Commission from taking any action with respect to the Filing; and
- o I may not assert staff comments as a defense in any proceeding initiated by the Securities and Exchange Commission or any person under federal securities laws of the United States.

Very truly yours,

/s/ Robert B. Ladd

Robert Ladd, in his capacity as the managing member of Laddcap Value Associates LLC, the general partner of Laddcap Value Partners ${\tt LP}$